1		The Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez					
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT					
10		T OF WASHINGTON					
11	EON-NET, L.P.,						
12	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C05-2129 RSM					
13	T Million,	DECLARATION OF MITCHELL					
14	v.	MEDINA IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF EON-NET L.P.'S MOTION FOR					
15	FLAGSTAR BANCORP,	RECONSIDERATION OF THE ORDER ON MOTION FOR FEES AND COSTS					
16	Defendant.	ON MOTION FOR FEBRUARY COSTS					
17		NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR: JANUARY 18, 2010					
18		0711C7111 10, 2010					
19	I Mitchell Medina do hereby state and de	clare the following in support of Plaintiff Eon-					
20	I, Mitchell Medina, do hereby state and declare the following in support of Plaintiff Eon-						
21	Net, L.P.'s ("Eon-Net") Motion for Reconsideration of the Court's Order on Motion for Fees and						
22	Costs dated January 4, 2010.						
23	After the Court issued its Order or	Claim Construction on March 4, 2009 ("Order")					
24	and before U.S. Patent No. 7,570,383 ("the '383 patent") issued, Eon-Net submitted the Order to						
25	the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO") and requested that it be considered before the PTO						
26	issue the '383 patent. The PTO considered the Or						
27							
28	<ol> <li>On January 8, 2010, the PTO allowed yet another patent application based on the specification of the Eon-Net patents, the allowed application also having claims, e.g., claim 57.</li> </ol>						
	specification of the con-inet patents, the allower	application also having claims, e.g., claim 57,					

Case 2:05-cv-02129-RSM Document 189-3 Filed 01/18/10 Page 1 of 11

directed towards processing information from documents that do not originate from scanned hard copy documents. See the Notice of Allowance and certain allowed claims attached hereto as Exhibit 1. 3. I never deliberately threw out a draft specification or any other prosecution-related documents, and any such documents I may have had were inadvertently lost in the course of my moves between the United States, Kenya and Israel. See the true and correct copies of pages 63-64 from the transcript of the deposition of Mitchell Medina conducted on June 23, 2008 attached hereto as Exhibit 2. 4. Que's Computer User Dictionary was cited by Eon-Net because it was intrinsic evidence, having been cited to the PTO by the inventors in 1991 when the common specification was filed. I declare under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Mitchell Medina Dated: January /7\_, 2010 Jerusalem, Israel 

# EXHIBIT 1



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 wew. unpin. gov.

## NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE

22428

7590

01/08/2010

FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007 EXAMINER
BRINICH, STEPHEN M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2625

DATE MAILED: 01/08/2010

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
11/979,264	10/31/2007	Robert Lech	039953-0116	6688	

TITLE OF INVENTION: INFORMATION PROCESSING METHODOLOGY

APPLN, TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE DUE	PUBLICATION FEE DUE	PREV. PAID ISSUE FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	NO	\$1510	\$300	\$0	\$1810	04/08/2010

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE AT THE INITIATIVE OF THE OFFICE OR UPON PETITION BY THE APPLICANT. SEE 37 CFR 1.313 AND MPEP 1308.

THE ISSUE FEE AND PUBLICATION FEE (IF REQUIRED) MUST BE PAID WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED. SEE 35 U.S.C. 151. THE ISSUE FEE DUE INDICATED ABOVE DOES NOT REFLECT A CREDIT FOR ANY PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE IN THIS APPLICATION. IF AN ISSUE FEE HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN PAID IN THIS APPLICATION (AS SHOWN ABOVE), THE RETURN OF PART B OF THIS FORM WILL BE CONSIDERED A REQUEST TO REAPPLY THE PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE TOWARD THE ISSUE FEE NOW DUE.

#### HOW TO REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

Review the SMALL ENTITY status shown above.

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as YES, verify your current SMALL ENTITY status:

A. If the status is the same, pay the TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above.

B. If the status above is to be removed, check box 5b on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and twice the amount of the ISSUE FEE shown above, or

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as NO:

A. Pay TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above, or

B. If applicant claimed SMALL ENTITY status before, or is now claiming SMALL ENTITY status, check box 5a on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and 1/2 the ISSUE FEE shown above.

II. PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL, or its equivalent, must be completed and returned to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) with your ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). If you are charging the fee(s) to your deposit account, section "4b" of Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted. If an equivalent of Part B is filed, a request to reapply a previously paid issue fee must be clearly made, and delays in processing may occur due to the difficulty in recognizing the paper as an equivalent of Part B.

III. All communications regarding this application must give the application number. Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE unless advised to the contrary.

IMPORTANT REMINDER: Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patentee's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.

### Case 2:05-cv-02129-RSM Document 189-3 Filed 01/18/10 Page 5 of 11

#### PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL

Complete and send this form, together with applicable fee(s), to: Mail Mail Stop ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

or Fax (571)-273-2885

INSTRUCTIONS: This form should be used for transmitting the ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). Blocks 1 through 5 should be completed where

ndicated unless correcte naintenance fee notifica	ed below or directed oth tions.	erwise in Block I, by (	i) specifying a new cor	rresp	ondence address; a	navor	(b) indicating a separ	ate "FEE ADDRESS" for
CURRENT CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS (Note: Use Block 1 for any change of address)					Note: A certificate of mailing can only be used for domestic mailings of the Fee(s) Transmittal. This certificate cannot be used for any other accompanying papers. Each additional paper, such as an assignment or formal drawing, must have its own certificate of mailing or transmission.			
FOLEY AND SUITE 500 3000 K STREET	7590 01/08 LARDNER LLP	72010	I S a	here States iddre	by certify that this	Fee(s	of Mailing or Transn ) Transmittal is being ficient postage for first ISSUE FEE address (1) 273-2885, on the da	deposited with the United class mail in an envelope above, or being facsimile te indicated below.
WASHINGTON	, DC 20007		ſ					(Depositor's name)
			[					(Signature)
			Į					(Date)
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENT	OR		ATTO	RNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
11/979,264	10/31/2007	-	Robert Lech			)	039953-0116	6688
		CESSING METHODOL						DATE DIE
APPLN, TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE DUE	PUBLICATION FEE DU	UE	PREV. PAID ISSUE	PEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	NO	\$1510	\$300	_	\$0		\$1810	04/08/2010
EXAM	IINER	ART UNIT	CLASS-SUBCLASS					
	STEPHEN M	2625	358-001150					
Change of correspond CFR 1.363).  Change of correspond corresponders form PTO/S  "Fee Address" ind PTO/SB/47; Rev 03-4  Number is required.	or agents OR, altern (2) the name of a si registered attorney 2 registered patent	mes of up to 3 registered patent attorneys OR, alternatively, me of a single firm (having as a member a attorney or agent) and the names of up to d patent attorneys or agents. If no name is name will be printed.						
PLEASE NOTE: Un recordation as set for (A) NAME OF ASSI	less an assignee is ident th in 37 CFR 3.11. Comp GNEE	oletion of this form is NC	data will appear on th T a substitute for filing (B) RESIDENCE: (C	e par an a	tent. If an assigned ssignment. and STATE OR CO	UNT	RY)	ocument has been filed for
lease check the appropr	riate assignee category or	categories (will not be p						
Issue Fee Publication Fee () Advance Order -	b. Payment of Fee(s): (I  A check is enclose Payment by credit The Director is her	ed. card	. Form PTO-2038 authorized to charge	is atta	iched. required fee(s), any de	ficiency, or credit any		
			overpayment, to D	epos	it Account Number	_	(enclose ar	extra copy of this form).
	atus (from status indicate ns SMALL ENTITY state		☐ b. Applicant is no	long	er claiming SMALI	LENT	ITTY status. See 37 CF	R 1.27(g)(2).
NOTE: The Issue Fee ar	nd Publication Fee (if req		ed from anyone other the k Office.	an th	e applicant; a regist	ered i	attorney or agent; or th	e assignee or other party in
2 (2) V VAC (5)					Data			,
Authorized Signature								
This collection of inform an application. Confider submitting the complete his form and/or suggest Box 1450, Alexandria,	nation is required by 37 C stiality is governed by 35 d application form to the tions for reducing this bu Virginia 22313-1450. DC	CFR 1.311. The informati U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR USPTO. Time will var- rden, should be sent to to D NOT SEND FEES OR	on is required to obtain 1.14. This collection is y depending upon the in the Chief Information Of COMPLETED FORMS	or research	tain a benefit by the mated to take 12 m dual case. Any con t, U.S. Patent and T THIS ADDRESS.	e publ inutes ament raden SENI	tic which is to file (and to complete, including s on the amount of tin nark Office, U.S. Depa D TO: Commissioner f	by the USPTO to process) g gathering, preparing, and ne you require to complete utment of Commerce, P.O. for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

### Case 2:05-cv-02129-RSM Document 189-3 Filed 01/18/10 Page 6 of 11



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
11/979,264		10/31/2007	Robert Lech	039953-0116	6688		
22428 7590 01/08/2010		01/08/2010		EXAM	EXAMINER		
FOLEY AND	10,000	200000000000000000000000000000000000000		BRINICH, S'	TEPHEN M		
SUITE 500	LAKDIN	LIK LLI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
3000 K STREE WASHINGTO!		07		2625 DATE MAILED: 01/08/2010	0		

# Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)

(application filed on or after May 29, 2000)

The Patent Term Adjustment to date is 233 day(s). If the issue fee is paid on the date that is three months after the mailing date of this notice and the patent issues on the Tuesday before the date that is 28 weeks (six and a half months) after the mailing date of this notice, the Patent Term Adjustment will be 233 day(s).

If a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines Patent Term Adjustment is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) WEB site (http://pair.uspto.gov).

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (571)-272-7702. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at 1-(888)-786-0101 or (571)-272-4200.

Atty. Dkt. No. 039953-0116

#### Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

#### Listing of Claims:

- 1. (Cancelled)
- 2. (Previously presented) An automated digitizing system configurable to route information identified by content instructions in a document file on a computer to a potential plurality of application programs according to customizable transmission format instructions, said transmission format instructions being customized to requirements of each application program receiving said information.
- (Previously presented) A system as claimed in claim 2, in which at least one of said application programs is a digital archiving program.
- 4. (Previously presented) A system as claimed in claim 3, in which said digital archiving program enables storage and retrieval of one or more document files based on information identified by content instructions.
- (Previously presented) A system as claimed in claim 2, in which at least one of said application programs is a billing program.
- 6. (Previously presented) A system as claimed in claim 2, in which at least one of said application programs is a workflow program in which one or more document files are routed to one or more workstations based on information identified by content instructions.
- 7. (Previously presented) A method of processing data on an automated digitizing system configurable to route information identified by content instructions in a document file on a computer to a potential plurality of application programs according to customizable

Atty. Dkt. No. 039953-0116

- 53. (Currently amended) A computer-readable medium An application program interface as claimed in claim 52 in which at least one of said application programs is a digital archiving program.
- 54. (Currently amended)

  A computer-readable medium An application program interface as claimed in claim 53 in which said digital archiving program enables storage and retrieval of one or more document files based on information identified by content instructions.
- 55. (Currently amended) A computer-readable medium An application program interface as claimed in claim 52 in which at least one of said application programs is a billing program.
- 56. (Currently amended)

  A computer-readable medium An application program interface as claimed in claim 52 in which at least one of said application programs is a workflow program in which one or more document files are routed to one or more workstations based on information identified by content instructions.
- 57. (New) A system as claimed in claim 2 in which said potential plurality of application programs is an unrestricted diversity of application programs operating on an arbitrary remote computer system and said document file is not derived from scanning a hard copy document.
- 58. (New) A method as claimed in claim 7 in which said potential plurality of application programs is an unrestricted diversity of application programs operating on an arbitrary remote computer system and said document file is not derived from scanning a hard copy document.
- 59. (New) An application program interface as claimed in claim 12 in which said potential plurality of application programs is an unrestricted diversity of application programs operating on an arbitrary remote computer system and said document file is not derived from scanning a hard copy document.

# EXHIBIT 2

Page 63 MEDINA 1 MS. BAILY: Can you just let him finish his answer first. MR. ZIMMERMAN: Sure. 5 Patent 2, the '508 patent, I think those were the only two that were in existence at that time. I seem to remember that patent 3 8 issued after the lawsuit settled or -- and that 9 the defendant subsequently took a supplementary license under patent 3. Patent 3 having been --10 11 being the '465 patent. 12 At the time of that litigation, the 13 selection of documents was made. We had more 14 records at that time, but that selection became 15 our selection of what we thought was relevant to 16 the document production requests that were given 17 to us at that time, and nothing else survives. 18 MS. BAILY: Can I just finish this 19 line of questions and then you can --20 Yeah, sure. MR. ZIMMERMAN: 21 0. When you say nothing else survives, 22 were the other documents destroyed at that time 23 or was it, as you said you have been moving 24 around and documents were lost?

I've been moving around.

I never

25

Α.

Page 64

1	MEDINA
2	deliberately took something and threw it in the
3	incinerator. It just but it I don't have
4	it anymore and I don't it is not buried
5	someplace either. It just doesn't exist.
6	MR. ZIMMERMAN: I want to have a
7	clarification. Jeffrey Schwab was prior
8	litigation counsel, not prosecution counsel.
9	Jeffrey Schwab's firm did not prosecute.
10	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
11	MR. ZIMMERMAN: The files, what was
12	transferred to me were documents in
13	connection with the litigation that I
14	assumed and at the end of the litigation, we
15	discarded the documents. The prosecution
16	files were done by Foley & Lardner. To the
17	best of my recollection, they have whatever
18	prosecution files they have.
19	A. I know that Foley & Lardner's document
20	retention process, policy, once a patent issues,
21	they discard everything except, you know, what's
22	in the file history.
23	MS. BAILY: Well, since you brought it
24	up, did you contact the Foley & Lardner law
25	firm